

Zero sets of Abelian Lie algebras of vector fields

Morris W. Hirsch

Mathematics Department

University of Wisconsin at Madison

University of California at Berkeley

January 13, 2016

Abstract

Assume M is a 3-dimensional real manifold without boundary, \mathcal{A} is an abelian Lie algebra of analytic vector fields on M , and $X \in \mathcal{A}$. The following result is proved:

If K is a locally maximal compact set of zeroes of X and the Poincaré-Hopf index of X at K is nonzero, there is a point in K at which all the elements of \mathcal{A} vanish.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	Application to attractors	3
2	Background material	4
3	Proof of Theorem 1	5

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper M denotes a real analytic, metrizable manifold that is connected and has finite dimension n , fixed at $n = 3$ in the main results.

The space of (continuous) vector fields on M endowed with the compact open topology is $\mathcal{V}(M)$, and $\mathcal{V}^r M$ is the subspace of C^r vector fields. Here r denotes a positive integer, ∞ , or ω (meaning analytic); this convention is abbreviated by $1 \leq r \leq \omega$.

The *zero set* of $X \in \mathcal{V}(M)$ is $Z(X) := \{p \in M : X_p = 0\}$. If $Z(X) = \emptyset$ (the empty set), X is *nonsingular*. The zero set of a subset $S \subset \mathcal{V}(M)$ is $Z(S) := \bigcap_{X \in S} Z(X)$.

A compact set $K \subset Z(X)$ is a *block of zeros* for X — called an *X-block* for short— if it lies in a precompact open set $U \subset M$ whose closure \overline{U} contains no other zeros of X ; such an open set is *isolating* for X , and for (X, K) .

When U is isolating for X there is a unique maximal open neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_U \subset \mathcal{V}(M)$ of X with the following property (HIRSCH [9]):

If $Y \in \mathcal{N}_U$ has only finitely many zeros in U , the Poincaré-Hopf index of $Y|_U$ depends only on X and K .

This index is an integer denoted by $i_K(X)$, and also by $i(X, U)$, with the latter notation implying that U is isolating for X .¹

The celebrated POINCARÉ-HOPF Theorem [14, 23] connects the index to the Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$. A modern formulation (see MILNOR [19]) runs as follows:

Theorem (POINCARÉ-HOPF). *Assume M is a compact n -manifold, $X \in \mathcal{V}(M)$, and $Z(X) \cap \partial M = \emptyset$. If X is tangent to ∂M at all boundary points, or points outward at all boundary points then $i(X, M) = \chi(M)$. If X points inward at all boundary points, $i(X, M) = (-1)^{n-1} \chi(M)$.*

For calculations of the index in more general settings see GOTTLIEB [6], JUBIN [15], MORSE [21], PUGH [24].

The X -block K is *essential* if $i_K(X) \neq 0$. When this holds every $Y \in \mathcal{N}_U(X)$ has an essential block of zeros in U (Theorem 6). If M is a closed manifold (compact, no boundary) and $\chi(M) \neq 0$, the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem implies $Z(X)$ is an essential X -block.

C. Bonatti's proved a remarkable extension of the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem to certain pairs of commuting analytic vector fields on manifolds that need not be compact:

Theorem (BONATTI [2]). *Assume $\dim M \leq 4$ and $\partial M = \emptyset$. If $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ and $[X, Y] = 0$, then $Z(Y)$ meets every essential X -block.*²

Related results are in the articles [3, 9, 10, 13, 16, 17, 22, 26].

Our main result is an extension of Bonatti's Theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let M be a connected 3-manifold and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ an abelian Lie algebra of analytic vector fields on M . Assume $X \in \mathcal{A}$ is nontrivial and $Z(X) \cap \partial M = \emptyset$. If K is an essential X -block, then $Z(\mathcal{A}) \cap K \neq \emptyset$.*

The proof, in Section 3, relies heavily on Bonatti's Theorem. An analog for surfaces is proved in HIRSCH [9, Thm. 1.3].

¹The index can be equivalently defined as the intersection number of $X(U)$ with the zero section of the tangent bundle of U ([2]); and as the the fixed-point index of the time- t map of the local flow of $X|_U$ for sufficiently small $t > 0$. ([5, 11, 12].)

²“The demonstration of this result involves a beautiful and quite difficult local study of the set of zeros of X , as an analytic Y -invariant set.” —P. MOLINO [20]

Application to attractors

The *interior* $\text{Int}(L)$ of a subset $L \subset M$ is the union of all open subsets of M contained in L .

Fix a metric on M . If $Q \subset M$ is closed, the minimum distance from $z \in M$ to points of Q is denoted by $\text{dist}(z, Q)$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{V}^1(M)$ have local flow Φ . An *attractor* for X (see [1, 4, 7, 25]) is a nonempty compact set $P \subset M$ that is invariant under Φ and has a compact neighborhood $N \subset M$ such that

$$\Phi_t(N) \subset (N)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(\Phi_t(x, P), P) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } x \in N. \quad (1)$$

Such an N can be chosen so that

$$t > s \geq 0 \implies \Phi_t(N) \subset \text{Int}(\Phi_s(N)), \quad (2)$$

which is assumed from now on. In addition, using a result of F. W. WILSON [27, Thm. 2.2] we choose N so that:

$$N \text{ is a compact } C^1 \text{ submanifold and } X \text{ is inwardly transverse to } \partial N.^3 \quad (3)$$

Theorem 2. *Let M , \mathcal{A} and X be as in Theorem 1. If $P \subset M$ is a compact attractor for X and $\chi(P) \neq 0$, then $Z(\mathcal{A}) \cap P \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. P is a proper subset of M — otherwise M is a closed 3-manifold having nonzero Euler characteristic, an impossibility (e.g., HIRSCH [8, Thm. 5.2.5]). Fix N as above and note that $\chi(N) \neq 0$.

By (3) and Poincaré-Hopf Theorem there is an essential X -block $K \subset N \setminus \partial N$, and $K \subset P$ by (1). Standard homology theory and (2) imply that the inclusion map $P \hookrightarrow N$ induces an isomorphism on singular homology, hence $\chi(N) = \chi(P) \neq 0$.

The conclusion follows from Theorem 1 applied to the data M' , \mathcal{A}' , X' :

$$M' := N, \quad \mathcal{A}' := \{Y|N : Y \in \mathcal{A}\}, \quad X' := X|N. \quad \blacksquare$$

Example 3. Denote the inner product of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ by $\langle x, y \rangle$ and the norm of x by $\|x\|$. Let $B_r \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the open ball about the origin of radius $r > 0$.

- Assume \mathcal{A} is an abelian Lie algebra of analytic vector fields on an open set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ that contains \overline{B}_r . Let $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $r > 0$ be such that

$$\|x\| = r \implies \langle X_p, p \rangle < 0.$$

Then $Z(\mathcal{A}) \cap B_r \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 2: \overline{B}_r contains an attractor for X because X inwardly transverse to $\partial \overline{B}_r$ and $\chi(\overline{B}_r) = 1$.

³This means X_p is not tangent to ∂N if $p \in \partial N$.

2 Background material

Lemma 4 (INVARIANCE). *If $T, S \in \mathcal{A}$ then $Z(S)$ is invariant under T .*

Proof. Let $\Phi := \{\Phi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\Psi := \{\Psi_s\}$ denote the local flows of T and S , respectively. If $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ are sufficiently close to 0, because $[T, S] = 0$ we have

$$\Phi_t \circ \Psi_s = \Psi_s \circ \Phi_t,$$

and

$$Z(S) = \text{Fix}(\Psi) := \bigcap_s \text{Fix}(\Psi_s),$$

where Fix denotes the fixed point set. Suppose $p \in Z(S)$. Then $p \in \text{Fix}(\Psi)$, and

$$\Psi_s \circ \Phi_t(p) = \Phi_t \circ \Psi_s(p) = \Phi_t(p).$$

Consequently $\Phi_t(p) \in \text{Fix}(\Psi_s)$ for sufficiently small $|t|, |s|$, implying the conclusion. ■

A closed set $Q \subset M$ is an *analytic subspace* of M , or *analytic in M* , provided Q has a locally finite covering by zero sets of analytic maps defined on open subsets of M . This is abbreviated to *analytic space* when the ambient manifold M is clear from the context. The connected components of analytic spaces are also analytic spaces.

Analytic spaces have very simple local topology, owing to the theorem of ŁOJASIEWICZ [18]:

Theorem 5 (TRIANGULATION). *If T is a locally finite collection of analytic spaces in M , there is a triangulation of M such that each element of T is covered by a subcomplex.*

The proof of Theorem 1 uses the following folk theorem:

Theorem 6 (STABILITY). *Assume $X \in \mathcal{V}(M)$ and $U \subset M$ is isolating for X .*

- (a) *If $i(X, U) \neq 0$ then $Z(X) \cap U \neq \emptyset$.*
- (b) *If $Y \in \mathcal{V}(M)$ is sufficiently close to X , then U is isolating for Y and $i(Y, U) = i(X, U)$.*

Proof. See HIRSCH [9, Thm. 3.9]. ■

Let $Z(S)$ denote the set of common zeros of a subset $S \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$.

Proposition 7. *The following conditions hold for every $S \subset \mathcal{A}$:*

- (a) *$Z(S)$ is analytic in M .*
- (b) *Every zero dimensional \mathcal{A} -invariant set lies in $Z(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Left to the reader. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Recall the hypotheses of the Main Theorem:

- M is a 3-dimensional manifold,
- $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ is an abelian Lie algebra,
- $X \in \mathcal{A}$ is nontrivial, $Z(X) \cap \partial M = \emptyset$, and K is an essential block of zeroes for X .

The conclusion to be proved is: $Z(A) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. It suffices to show that $Z(A)$ meets every neighborhood of K , because $Z(\mathcal{A})$ is closed and K is compact.

Case I: $\dim \mathcal{A} = d < \infty$. The special case $d \leq 2$ is covered by Bonatti's Theorem. We proceed by induction on d :

Induction Hypothesis

- $\dim \mathcal{A} = d + 1$, $d \geq 2$.
- The zero set of every d -dimensional subalgebra of \mathcal{A} meets K .

Arguing by contradiction, we assume *per contra*:

(PC) $Z(\mathcal{A}) \cap K = \emptyset$.

An important consequence is:

(A) $\dim K \leq 2$.

For otherwise $\dim K = 3$, which entails the contradiction that X is trivial: X is analytic and vanishes on a 3-simplex in the connected 3-manifold M .

The Stability Theorem (6) implies X has a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_U \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(X)$ with the following property:

(B) $Y \in \mathcal{N}_U \implies U$ is isolating for Y and $i(Y, U) = i(X, U) \neq 0$.

Let $G_d(\mathcal{A})$ denote d -dimensional Grassmann manifold of d -dimensional linear subspaces \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} ; these are abelian subalgebras.

The nonempty set

$$G_d(\mathcal{N}_U) := \{\mathcal{B} \in G_d(\mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{N}_U \neq \emptyset\}$$

is open in $G_d(\mathcal{A})$, hence it is a d -dimensional analytic manifold.

Bonatti's Theorem and (B) imply:

(C) $Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for all $\mathcal{B} \in G_d(\mathcal{N}_U)$.

A key topological consequence of (C) is:

(D) If \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' are distinct elements of $G_d(\mathbf{N}_U)$, then $Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap K$ and $Z(\mathcal{B}') \cap K$ are disjoint.

This holds because $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}'$ spans \mathcal{A} , hence (PC) implies

$$(Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap K) \cap (Z(\mathcal{B}') \cap K) = Z(\mathcal{A}) \cap K = \emptyset.$$

Each set $Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap K$ is invariant (Lemma 4) and therefore has positive dimension by (PC). Moreover:

(E) The set $\Gamma_U := \{\mathcal{B} \in G_d(\mathbf{N}_U) : \dim Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap K = 2\}$ is finite.

For otherwise (D) implies K contains an infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint compact subsets that are 2-dimensional and analytic in M . But this is impossible by (A) and the Triangulation Theorem 5.

(E) shows that $\Gamma_U = \emptyset$ provided U is small enough. Therefore we can assume:

(F) $\dim Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap K = 1$ for all $\mathcal{B} \in G_d(\mathbf{N}_U)$.

The set

$$Q := \{(\mathcal{B}, p) \in G_d(\mathbf{N}_U) \times M : p \in Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap K\}.$$

is analytic in $G_d(\mathbf{N}_U) \times M$ (Proposition 7). The natural projections

$$\pi_1 : Q \rightarrow G_d(\mathbf{N}_U), \quad \pi_2 : Q \rightarrow K$$

are analytic, π_1 is surjective, π_2 is injective by (D).

The sets $Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap K$ are therefore pairwise disjoint, and each is a 1-dimensional analytic subspaces of Q by (F). Therefore

$$\dim Q = \dim G_d(\mathcal{A}) + \dim (Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap K) \leq \dim K,$$

whence

$$\dim Q = d + 1 \leq 2.$$

But this is impossible because $d \geq 2$ by the Induction Hypothesis. This completes the inductive proof of the Main Theorem in Case I.

Case II: $\dim \mathcal{A}$ is arbitrary.

Consider the family \mathfrak{F} of compact subsets of K :

$$\mathfrak{F} := \{Z(\mathcal{A}') \cap K : \mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ is a finite-dimensional subalgebra}\}.$$

Evidently

$$\bigcap_{S \in \mathfrak{F}} S = Z(\mathcal{A}) \cap K.$$

Case I shows every finite subset of \mathfrak{F} has nonempty intersection. As K is compact, all the elements of \mathfrak{F} have nonempty intersection, proving $Z(\mathcal{A}) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. ■

References

- [1] E. Akin, “The general topology of dynamical systems”, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1993)
- [2] C. Bonatti, *Champs de vecteurs analytiques commutants, en dimension 3 ou 4: existence de zéros communs*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N. S.) **22** (1992), 215–247
- [3] C. Bonatti & B. Santiago, *Existence of common zeros for commuting vector fields on 3-manifolds*, arXiv:1504.06104 (2015)
- [4] C. Conley, “Isolated Invariant Sets and the Morse Index,” CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **38**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. (1978)
- [5] A. Dold, “Lectures on Algebraic Topology,” Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften Bd. 52, 2nd edition. Springer, New York (1972)
- [6] D. Gottlieb, *A de Moivre like formula for fixed point theory*, in: “Fixed Point Theory and its Applications (Berkeley, CA, 1986).” Contemporary Mathematics **72** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1988
- [7] J. Hale, “Asymptotic behavior of dissipative systems,” Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **25**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1988)
- [8] M. W. Hirsch, “Differential Topology.” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976
- [9] M. W. Hirsch, *Zero sets of Lie algebras of analytic vector fields on real and complex 2-manifolds*, arXiv.org/abs/1310.0081v2 (2015)
- [10] M. W. Hirsch, *Common zeroes of families of smooth vector fields on surfaces*, arXiv.org/abs/1506.02185v1 (2015)
- [11] M. W. Hirsch, *Fixed points of local actions of nilpotent Lie groups on surfaces*, arXiv.org/abs/1405.2331v2 (2014)
- [12] M. W. Hirsch, *Fixed points of local actions of nilpotent Lie groups on surfaces*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, in press.
- [13] M. W. Hirsch & A. Weinstein, *Fixed points of analytic actions of super-soluble Lie groups on compact surfaces*, Ergod. Th. Dyn. Sys. **21** (2001), 1783–1787
- [14] H. Hopf, *Vektorfelder in Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Annalen **95** (1925), 340–367 Algebras.” Wiley, New York, 1962
- [15] B. Jubin, *A generalized Poincaré-Hopf index theorem*, arXiv.org/abs/0903.0697v2, (2009)

- [16] E. Lima, *Common singularities of commuting vector fields on 2-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **39** (1964), 97–110
- [17] E. Lima, *Commuting vector fields on S^3* , Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 70–81.
- [18] S. Łojasiewicz, *Triangulation of semi-analytic sets*, Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) **18** (1964), 449–474
- [19] J. Milnor, “Topology from the differentiable viewpoint,” University of Virginia Press, Charlottesville Virginia 1965
- [20] P. Molino, Review of Bonatti [2], Math Reviews 93h:57044, Amer. Math. Soc. (1993)
- [21] M. Morse, *Singular Points of Vector Fields Under General Boundary Conditions*, Amer. J. Math. **52** (1929), 165–178
- [22] J. Plante *Elementary zeros of Lie algebras of vector fields*, Topology **30** (1991), 215–222
- [23] H. Poincaré, *Sur les courbes définies par une équation différentielle*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **1** (1885), 167–244
- [24] C. Pugh, *A generalized Poincaré index formula*, Topology **7** (1968), 217–226
- [25] S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 747–817
- [26] F.-J. Turiel, *Analytic actions on compact surfaces and fixed points*, Manuscripta Mathematica **110** (2003), 195–201
- [27] F. W. Wilson, *Smoothing derivatives of functions and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1969), 413–428